

What do you like about the proposal?

- Community OM.
- Lack of detail.
- Innovative idea.
- Nothing!
- An interesting idea to address a societal issue. I support more creative ideas and definitely the intent of this project.
- A new(ish) solution to an old problem.
- Only to use the building as building the homes.
- Building the houses.
- Creative idea – all for workshop/selling goods.
- Self-governance, “coop” idea, key values, sweat equity, the opportunity for it to be a model for housing, doing something positive with Sanchez site.
- Maybe the cause, not the location.
- That it helps the homeless.
- Nothing.
- Tackling a real problem.
- Creative solution to terrible community problem of homelessness. Like the workshop. Not sure about the density of housing.
- Imaginative and community-based, it embraces what I value most as a long-time Eastside resident: our support for a diverse population.
- Tiny house construction/design, need a new location for them. We already have halfway homes in the area, where we have had police/fire trucks there (multiple times).
- I am fine with having retail/office/construction on the property.
- It is a creative and well-planned way for homeless people to improve their lives.
- Habitat for Humanity model, idea for “Housing First” and providing some privacy, dignity and safety for homeless individuals. They have to go somewhere.
- It is courageous and creative. Community-building is such a huge part of this concept. I believe that dignity and community-building will help people overcome barriers to housing.
- Creative community.
- Nothing.
- A chance to help.
- Homelessness is a big problem and needs various solutions.
- Residents have stake in success and have given sweat equity.
- Interesting solution/project.
- Sweat equity, community gardens, housing the homeless.
- That the residents would have worked on the houses. That the residents will be safer.
- The workshop, the gardens, the place to live for human beings!!
- It's an intriguing idea WITH the proper planning and at a better site. This site doesn't feel appropriate for this housing.
- Taking a step toward reducing homelessness.
- The concept of affordable housing.
- Creative approach for the homeless to have meaningful work and more opportunity and housing.
- The self-help aspect of the proposal.
- Significant improvement over current use. Fits with EENA focus on sustainability and placemaking.
- Nothing.
- Not against using site to build houses.
- Mix of uses, innovative, reuse/repair of existing building, focus on sustainability (eco-village, gardening, etc.).
- I like the proposed dozen houses. A good number for creating a small community that can be part of the larger community.

- I applaud OM for trying to innovate and willing to put new ideas out there.
- A creative solution to address a serious problem.
- They have worked hard and explored options – very creative.
- Zoning doesn't allow OM's plans.
- Getting homeless people off the street.
- Clean up the property.
- Community building, skills sharing, gardens, aesthetics, mission to address a serious need in our community.
- Workshop, creative fencing, chickens/gardens, store, sense of possibility and connectedness.
- Pro-human, helping people get out of poverty, cooperative, creative, hopeful.
- Helps give people a legitimate home that is off the streets. The community philosophy – working together. It gives people a chance to improve their lives. All deserve dignity of life.
- Providing a place for people to build not just homes but self-worth.
- Homeless people need a place to stay and this seems to be a good approach.
- It's a start to helping homeless people.
- Design is good, getting people into homes.
- Helping homeless people.
- Depends on final proposal.
- Giving people homes! Workshop, garden.
- Come on – OM Build is trying something new – I am supportive of that!
- I oppose.
- This is needed, a good location for a variety of reasons, including proximity to downtown and services, good design.
- The idea of supporting less fortunate people.